



RESTRUCTURING & FIDUCIARY EVIDENCE DESK | SAMPLE 03

Asset Continuity & External-Record Reconciliation

ILLUSTRATIVE SCOPE & EVIDENCE WORKFLOW

Historic sales, operating closures and present estate schedules do not easily align.

A source-referenced chronology and entity-asset matrix explaining what the records support and what requires primary instruments.

This sample demonstrates how a written evidence assignment would be organised. It is not a completed investigation, client engagement, case finding, legal opinion or verification of a named company.

Proposed bounded scope

One defined matter with named entities and transaction window; schedule extracts supplied only under approved terms.

The actual work starts only after written scope, source access, conflicts, licensing and fee approval.

EVIDENCE DESIGN / WORKFLOW

How the decision is answered

The reviewer distinguishes a client question from evidence that has actually been checked. Every material conclusion must be tied to dated source material and a documented contrary-evidence test.

Proposed written outputs

- Chronology of publicly traceable asset events
- Entity-by-entity continuity matrix
- Claim-to-document trace register
- Contradictions, contrary explanations and narrow evidence requests

Illustrative claim-to-evidence control

Question / claim	Evidence to obtain	Before review
Entity identity	Official corporate record and filing date	Not assessed
Timing / status	Source-dated instrument, docket or transaction record	Not assessed
Contrary explanation	Alternative entity, chronology or omitted schedule	Not assessed
Reliance decision	Specific missing instrument and limit statement	Not assessed

No cells above represent an actual factual finding. They are task prompts showing what would be verified in a paid assignment.

Possible statuses

CONFIRMED | SUPPORTED WITH LIMITS | CONTRADICTED | UNRESOLVED | NOT LOCATED | NOT ESTABLISHED

SOURCES, LIMITS & NEXT STEP

Research source directory

These are official public-source starting points named in the research design. No item-level case record, date-specific finding or live access is asserted by this example. Some sources may require accounts, payment or jurisdiction-specific access.

FL727108 · Florida Senate §727.108 (2026)

<https://www.flsenate.gov/Laws/Statutes/2026/727.108>

Assignee duties and appointment of professionals; a legal framework, not a hiring guarantee

PACER · U.S. Courts PACER case finder

<https://www.uscourts.gov/court-records/find-a-case-pacer>

Federal dockets and filed documents; access/fees may apply

EDGAR · SEC EDGAR official filings

<https://www.sec.gov/search-filings>

Public reporting company disclosures and agreements

FLBIZ · Florida Department of State business records

<https://dos.fl.gov/sunbiz/search/>

Florida corporation, trade name and state lien record search

USPTO · USPTO Trademark Search

<https://www.uspto.gov/trademarks/search>

Federal trademark status and owner records

Outside the scope

- No opinion on title, lien enforceability or closing validity
- No valuation, accounting assurance or forensic audit
- No automatic access to omitted closing schedules

Request a written, fixed-scope proposal

apertureforensic.com/restructuring-fiduciary/request/

No call required. No automatic engagement, guarantee, commission or binding fee arises from this sample.